Serial Number 09/414,518

REMARKS

The Applicant disagrees with the rejection under 35 USC §112, 2nd Paragraph. It

appears that the Examiner has mis-understood the broad/narrow range objection set forth in

the MPEP, which concerns use of the words "such as" or "for example," followed by a

narrower limitation. Such a recitation is indefinite because it is not clear whether the claim

is in fact limited to the narrower limitation. The word "wherein" followed by the narrower

limitation, on the other hand, is entirely traditional, appropriate, and unambiguous since it

is clear that the claim is limited to the narrower limitation.

Nevertheless, to expedite prosecution of the application, claim 28 has been amended

to move the last "wherein" clause, which narrowed the "modulating" step, into the

modulating step itself, so as to avoid the broad/narrow format objected-to by the Examiner.

This is truly a matter of form and does not change the scope of the claim or otherwise affect

patentability.

Should the Examiner still feel that the claims need to be amended, the Examiner is

urged to telephone the undersigned at any time in order to resolve any outstanding issues

without the need for a further written Official Action and Response.

Having thus overcome the sole rejection made in the Official Action, withdrawal of

the rejections and expedited passage of the application to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

By: BENJAMIN E. URCIA

Registration No. 33,805

5

Serial Number 09/414,518

Date: December 11, 2003

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 683-0500

NWB:S:\Producer\text{beu\Pending Q...Z\Y\YANO414518\a04.wpd}